

For: State and County Offices

FY 2014 Improper Payments Information Act of 2002 (IPIA) Reviews

Approved by: Acting Associate Administrator for Operations and Management



1 Overview

A Background

The Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act of 2010 (IPERA), that amended IPIA, was the most recent legislation enacted to improve the integrity of the Government's payments and the efficiency of its programs and activities. OMB is responsible for providing guidance necessary to implement IPERA and IPIA.

IPIA requires Agencies with programs that have a significant risk of improper payments to:

- estimate the amount of improper payments annually
- report the estimates to the President and Congress
- provide a report of actions to reduce improper payments.

OMB guidance provides that:

- significant improper payments mean annual improper payments in a program exceeding both 1.5 percent of the total program payment amount and \$10 million of program payments made during the fiscal year **or** \$100 million regardless of the 1.5 percent figure
- if an Agency is unable to discern whether a payment was proper because of insufficient or lack of documentation, the payment **must** be considered an improper payment.

FSA has determined that using COR's to conduct IPIA reviews is the most reliable and efficient method to comply with IPIA requirements; therefore, COR's will be used to conduct FY 2014 IPIA reviews.

Disposal Date	Distribution
December 1, 2014	State Offices; State Offices relay to County Offices, CORP Coordinators, and COR's

1 Overview (Continued)

B Purpose

This notice provides:

- an overview of the procedure for conducting IPIA reviews to support compliance with IPIA by collecting and analyzing a statistical sample of program payments issued at the county level
- the corrective action required **before** a payment considered issued improperly may be considered proper for IPIA reporting purposes.

2 Statistical Sampling and Data Analysis

A Statistical Techniques

A single-stage, stratified-sampling methodology has been used to randomly select the payments to be reviewed. The stratification process is used to:

- control the variability in the sample
- strengthen the validity of the resulting statistical estimates.

B Statistician Expertise

The sample design, selection, and resulting statistical estimates are provided by an experienced survey statistician.

C Sample Size

The total sample size for this year's IPIA review will be 600 payments per program reviewed.

Exception: The sample size for ECP and LDP will be 50 payments per program.

3 Scope of Reviews

A IPIA

The scope of the 2014 IPIA reviews is limited to payments:

- issued October 2012 through September 2013 for:
 - ECP
 - LDP
 - MAL's (**only** loan disbursements)

3 Scope of Reviews (Continued)

A IPIA (Continued)

- MILC
- NAP
- SURE

Note: MAL's made to or by CMA's, DMA's, or LSA's will **not** be included.

- issued January 2013 through December 2013 for:
 - CRP annual rental payments
 - DCP.

B Payment Verifications

COR's will verify whether the payments in the sample were properly made by ensuring that payments were issued:

- for the correct amount
- to the correct payee
- with all required supporting documentation on file
- **after** all program and producer eligibility requirements were met.

4 COR Assignments

A States with Assigned COR's

ORAS will provide the CORP coordinator with a list of counties in their State selected for review, including the number of payments selected in each county. SED or CORP coordinator shall assign selected counties within their States to COR's within their State. ORAS will make COR assignments in States without a current COR.

Notes: If the State determines reviews cannot be completed by the due date in paragraph 6, notify ORAS and COR from outside the State will be assigned.

ORAS will make the assignments in States without a current COR assigned.

These reviews will be completed in the most cost effective methods according to paragraph 5.

B Priority

IPIA reviews shall take priority over **any** previously assigned review or assignment.

4 COR Assignments (Continued)

C Travel Requirements and Costs

COR's are authorized to travel to the selected County Office to obtain copies of the documentation required to conduct the review, provided COR can travel to the county and return to their headquarter location **without** incurring per diem or lodging.

Any local travel expenses will be paid using accounting code, "**484ORASCORREVV**".

Note: See Notice COR-123 for policy about COR travel associated with National Office-directed assignments.

D Scheduling Reviews

COR's shall work with CORP coordinators to schedule reviews. COR's assigned to conduct reviews outside their normal assigned States will be provided the contact information of the applicable CORP coordinator or SED by ORAS.

5 Conducting IPIA Reviews

A Basic Policy

The majority of the review work shall be conducted at the COR's headquarter location.

COR's are authorized to travel to the review County Office to make the necessary copies of the documentation needed to conduct the review and then return to their headquarter location to conduct the review.

If travel to and from the review County Office cannot be completed without incurring per diem or lodging, COR shall obtain the required documents according to subparagraph C.

Note: If possible, COR's should schedule any travel so documentation from 1 or more of the selected County Offices can be obtained on the same day.

B Integrity of the Review

In many cases, County Offices will be asked to send documentation directly to COR. In these situations, it is important the documentation is not altered before being sent.

If County Offices discover errors with the documentation to be sent to COR, the documentation shall be sent **before** making any corrections. After documentation is sent, County Offices may begin the necessary corrective actions.

5 Conducting IPIA Reviews (Continued)

C County Offices Sending Documentation to COR

When COR's will not be traveling to County Offices to obtain the documentation required to conduct the reviews, COR shall contact the County Office and request the required documentation be FAXed or scanned and e-mailed. County Offices shall be given up to 3 workdays from the date of request to provide the requested documentation. Any documentation containing PII data shall be protected according to applicable encryption or e-mailing procedures.

Note: Other ways for providing documentation electronically are also acceptable; however, hard copy mailing should be avoided.

D Entrance Conference

Entrance conference shall be held by telephone. COR, in consultation with SED, shall determine who is required to participate in the conference.

In addition to the normal information provided during an entrance conference, COR shall inform CED of the arrival date at the County Office to make copies of the required documentation.

Note: When COR will not travel to the County Office to make copies, County Office will be informed of an approximate date when the request for the required documentation will be made.

E Conducting More Than 1 Review at a Time

COR may initiate reviews, by holding an entrance conference and obtaining the required documentation, before a previous review has been completed.

COR shall review documentation for 1 review at a time. When COR begins the documentation review, COR shall complete the review and issue a draft report according to subparagraph J before beginning the documentation review for a subsequent review.

Exception: COR may begin reviewing the documentation associated with a subsequent review while waiting on additional information to be provided for the current review.

5 Conducting IPIA Reviews (Continued)

F Electronic CORP (eCORP) and IPIA Web Applications

COR shall:

- document the review using the eCORP Web application

Note: In eCORP, use National Code Designation “9 - 2014 National IPIA Review” to identify the review.

- if payment data is applicable to a:
 - combined county, issue 1 report that covers all counties in combination using the actual headquarter county as the eCORP “Headquarter” county
 - shared management county, issue a separate report for each county
- answer questionnaires about specific payments using the IPIA Web application.

G Modifying and Expanding Samples

For reviews to be statistically sound, **no** changes in selection of County Offices or payments to be reviewed are allowed.

Note: While it is possible that payments selected for FY 2014 IPIA reviews have been reviewed in the past, for IPIA review purposes, the sample **cannot** be changed.

Payments to be reviewed will be provided to COR’s. The scope of the review shall **not** be expanded to include payments not included in the statistical sample. COR’s shall limit the findings to the sample payments.

Note: While it is possible that payments not included in the sample may be affected by a specific finding, COR’s shall not expand the review to those payments. If needed, the “COR Observation” section of the report can address any issue with payments not included in the statistical sample.

H Reportable Findings

A reportable finding, for the purpose of these IPIA reviews, is any error that results in the payment being considered improper or results in any of the IPIA questionnaire questions being answered with a negative response.

Note: Report findings about unauthorized representative signatures according to subparagraphs 8 C and F.

5 Conducting IPIA Reviews (Continued)

I When Improper Payments Are Identified

While conducting the review, COR shall immediately notify CED of any improper payments identified as soon as found. This will provide CED time to address the issues before the exit conference and, if applicable, begin appropriate corrective actions.

J Draft Report

At least 1 workday **before** the exit conference, COR shall provide CED a draft copy of the eCORP report with all findings and recommendations included.

Note: The draft report is **not** required to:

- have the analysis completed
- be provided if no findings are being reported.

By providing the draft report before the exit conference, CED will have an opportunity to review the findings and address any issue in advance of the conference.

K Exit Conferences

Exit conferences shall be held by telephone. COR, in consultation with SED, shall determine who is required to participate in the conference.

Note: SED has the option to require that the exit conference be conducted at the applicable County Office, if conditions warrant.

6 Timeframes

A When Will Reviews Be Conducted

Reviews will begin on or about May 1, 2014. All reviews shall be **completed and reports issued** no later than **August 15, 2014**.

B Corrective Action Plan (CAP) Approval

CAP shall be approved within 10 workdays of the report date. Requests for an extension may be submitted to ORAS by SED or CORP coordinator.

6 Timeframes (Continued)

C Closing Reports

Closing reports shall be submitted to SED within 30 workdays of the report date. Requests for an extension may be submitted to ORAS by SED or CORP coordinator.

Note: Before an extension will be considered, eCORP must reflect all corrective actions taken as of the date of the request.

D Corrective Action To Be Considered for IPIA Reporting Purposes

A closing report does not have to be submitted for corrective action to be considered completed for IPIA reporting purposes; however, the applicable corrective action taken must be **completed and entered** in eCORP by **September 15, 2014**.

Important: If the corrective action taken for a payment identified as improper is not completed and entered in eCORP by **September 15, 2014**, ORAS will consider the payment improper for IPIA reporting purposes.

7 Policy and Procedure Questions

A Questions About CORP and IPIA Reviews

Contact ORAS with any questions about policies and procedures for conducting and documenting CORP and IPIA reviews.

B Questions About Other Programs

COR's shall contact the applicable State Office program specialist, for the applicable State, with any questions about specific program policies and procedures for a specific review.

COR's may contact ORAS if the State Office specialist **cannot** provide an adequate answer or is **not** available. When this occurs, ORAS will consult the applicable National Office program division for guidance.

8 Insufficient or Lack of Documentation to Support a Payment

A Completing Corrective Action

For corrective actions provided in subparagraphs C through I to be considered when determining whether a payment is proper for IPIA purposes, the corrective action **must** be completed by **September 15, 2014**.

8 Insufficient or Lack of Documentation to Support a Payment (Continued)

A Completing Corrective Action (Continued)

Exception: Extensions may be granted according to 1-COR for submitting the closing report; however, for FY 2014 IPIA review purposes, all corrective actions **must** be completed and entered into eCORP on or **before September 15, 2014**, to be considered when determining whether a payment is proper for IPIA reporting purposes.

B Payment Documents

For IPIA review purposes, a payment document shall be the document authorizing the payment, such as the following:

- contract
- loan note
- application for payment.

C Payment Documents With Unauthorized Representative Signatures

If a representative signature on the payment document was accepted without proper signature authority on file in the County Office before the payment was made, it will be reported as a finding in the report, but **shall not** be considered an improper payment.

The recommended corrective action shall require the County Office to follow 1-CM, subparagraph 707 A in determining whether the individual had authority to sign in a representative capacity.

Note: ORAS will consider the signature invalid and consider the payment improper for IPIA reporting purposes if the closing report does not clearly reflect that proper corrective action was taken and the individual was determined as having signature authority.

D Payment Documents With Missing Signatures

A payment **shall** be considered an improper payment if the payment document is missing the payee's signature.

Note: If the payment document is signed by the payee's representative, authorized or not, the signature shall **not** be considered missing.

8 Insufficient or Lack of Documentation to Support a Payment (Continued)

E Missing Payment Documents

The payment **shall** be considered improper if the payment document is **not** on file. If a copy of the original payment document is obtained:

- **before** the exit conference, COR shall consider the document on file and review the document as if it was the original
- **after** the exit and CED believes the document supports the payment as being properly made, a copy of the document shall be submitted to ORAS for review.

F Nonpayment Documents With Unauthorized Representative Signatures

If a representative signature on the nonpayment document was accepted without proper signature authority on file in the County Office before the payment was made, it will be reported as a finding in the report, but **shall not** be considered an improper payment.

The recommended corrective action shall require the County Office to follow 1-CM, subparagraph 707 A in determining whether the individual had authority to sign in a representative capacity.

Note: ORAS will consider the signature invalid and consider the payment improper for IPIA reporting purposes if the closing report does not clearly reflect that proper corrective action was taken and the individual was determined as having signature authority.

G Missing Signatures on Nonpayment Documents

The payment shall be considered issued improperly when there is a missing signature on a nonpayment document.

For IPIA reporting purposes, the payment may be considered proper if **all** the following conditions are met:

- missing signature is obtained

Note: A representative signature may be accepted if there is acceptable evidence of authority on file on the date the missing signature is obtained.

- all other information on the document supports that the payment was properly made.

Exception: See subparagraph I for missing signatures on FSA-578's and CCC-576's.

8 Insufficient or Lack of Documentation to Support a Payment (Continued)

H Missing Nonpayment Documents or Other Required Evidence

The payment **shall** be considered issued improperly when nonpayment documents or other required evidence was not provided before the payment was made.

For IPIA reporting purposes, County Offices may obtain missing nonpayment documents or other required evidence to show the payment was made properly provided the:

- obtained documents are reviewed by COC
- payment can be determined proper based on information in the obtained documents.

Note: The determination shall be documented in the COC minutes.

Exception: See subparagraph I for missing FSA-578's and CCC-576's.

I FSA-578's and CCC-576's

The following **shall** result in the applicable payment being considered improper unless an acceptable FSA-578 or CCC-576 can be obtained using the applicable late-filed procedures:

- missing FSA-578's or CCC-576's
- FSA-578's or CCC-576's with missing signatures
- FSA-578's without the required acreage reported.

9 Statutory or Regulatory Requirements

A Corrective Actions for IPIA Purpose Only

The corrective actions in this notice are for IPIA reporting **only** and do **not** circumvent any statutory or regulatory requirements.

If the normal procedure requires the case to be elevated to the National or State Office for a final determination, this action is expected to occur. Final action by the National or State Office is **not** required to be completed before the closing report is submitted to ORAS.